







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/716,222	11/21/2000	Sang Chul Youn	214-169P	9409	
2292	7590 09/2	003			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH	7 JRCH, VA 22040	747	WEST, JEFFREY R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2857		
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		À	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/716,222	YOUN ET AL.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey R. West	2857	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2003 FAILS TO PI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of : (1) a timely filed amend peal (with appeal fee); or	this application. A proper reply to ment which places the application	a in
PERIOD FOR I	REPLY [check either a) o	or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	_		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this an event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three	r than SIX MONTHS from the m AS FILED WITHIN TWO MON' date on which the petition under tension and the corresponding a ned statutory period for reply orig	ailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MP 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extens mount of the fee. The appropriate extension jinally set in the final Office action; or (2) as s	EP sion fee fee under set forth in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11 September 20 37 CFR 1.192(a) , or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.1926).			th in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require full	rther consideration and/o	r search (see NOTE below);	
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appe	al by materially reducing or simplif	fying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding n	umber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submi	tted in a separate, timely filed ame	endment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		peen considered but does NOT pla	ice the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were new	wly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			ın
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:	•	
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater			•
10. Other:	.,,	Maustroff	
		MARC S. HOFF SUPERVISORY PATENT EX TECHNOLOGY CENTER	

Continuation She t (PTOL-303)



Application No.

Continuation of 2: The proposed amendments to the specification describing the division of the noise signal into both phase and amplitude signals is considered to be new matter. This corresponding change to the Drawings is also considered to be new matter.

Continuation of 5: While the proposed amendments are not being entered, the Examiner does contend that it would be unclear to one having ordinary skill in the art how a low pass filter can divide a signal into separate amplitude and phase portions. Further, it would be unclear how the "phase perceiving part" perceives the phase of the signal if the low pass filter already makes this division. To overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, rejections Applicant relies on these proposed amendments. Further Applicant argues the use of the "phase perceiving part" by breaking the "phase perceiving part" into individual components and placing these components into previously existing components. This is not in accordance with the specification that describes "FIG. 10 is a diagram showing details of the phase perceiving part" (page 6, line 7) and Figure 6 that shows the "phase perceiving part" as a separate block that receives one input and produces one output. Therefore, the specification still fails to adequately enable the invention as claimed.